DM Seminar

Exercise testing in Respiratory disease

Dr. Ankit Senior Resident

Introduction

- Exercise is important part of sports performance, part of healthy lifestyle, physical therapy, diabetes, depression, rehabilitation, physiotherapy, and weight loss
- Aerobic and anaerobic (isometric or resistance) exercises
- Aerobic exercise uses large muscle mass and causes increase significantly, oxygen demand by the contracting muscles and delivery by the cardiopulmonary system.
- The aim of aerobic exercise is to increase cardiopulmonary and oxygen extraction by the skeletal muscles. Running, cycling, swimming, and walking are examples for aerobic exercise mode

Introduction

 Anaerobic exercise, which includes strength and resistance training, increases strength and muscle mass, as well as improves bone density example-weightlifting training, isometric training, eccentric training, interval training

Hemodynamic and muscular function changes following exercise training

| Variables | Aerobic exercise | Resistance exercise |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Maximal oxygen uptake | Increase | Unchanged |
| Maximal minute ventilation | Increase | unchanged |
| Cardiac output | Increase | Unchanged |
| Heart rate rest and maximal | Decrease | Increase or unchanged |
| Stroke volume rest and Maximal | Increase | Unchanged |
| Systolic blood pressure rest | Unchanged or decrease | Increase |
| Diastolic blood pressure | Unchanged or decrease | Increase |
| Mitochondrial capacity | Increase | Unchanged |
| Mitochondrial size and number | Increase | Unchanged |
| Basal metabolic rate | Increase | Unchanged |
| Anaerobic Capacity | Increase | Increase |
| Lactate tolerance | Increase | Increase |
| Capillary density | Increase | Unchanged |
| Muscle mass | Unchanged or decrease | Increase |
| Strength | Unchanged | Increase |
| Skeletal muscle hypertrophy | Unchanged or decrease | Increase |

Exercise tests

- Field tests
- Incremental shuttle walk test
- Endurance shuttle walk test
- 6 min walk test
- The Harvard step test
- The Cooper 12min/walk run test

- Laboratory tests
- Incremental exercise test
- High-intensity constant work-rate exercise tests

Field Tests

Why field tests is required?

- Exercise capacity (peak exercise capacity, functional exercise capacity or endurance)
- Factors limiting exercise performance (dyspnoea, subjective fatigue, musculoskeletal limitations)
- Response to an intervention

Equipment required for conduct of field walking tests

- At least one chair, positioned at one end of the walking course
- A validated scale to measure dyspnoea and subjective fatigue
- Sphygmomanometer for blood pressure measurement
- Pulse oximeter and Stopwatch
- Pre-measured marks along the track/corridor
- Access to oxygen and telephone in case of an emergency
- An emergency plan
- Portable supplemental oxygen if required by patient to perform exercise test
- Clipboard with reporting sheet and pen

Test location and Staffing

- Dedicated exercise testing room (along a quiet course, physiotherapy gym)
- Comfortable temperature (air conditioning if available)
- Rapid, appropriate response to an emergency is possible and crash cart is available
- Oxygen, sublingual nitroglycerine and salbutamol (metered dose inhaler or nebuliser)
- Telephone or other means of calling for help should be available in case of emergency
- Assessor performing the test should be certified in cardiopulmonary resuscitation (Basic Life Support certification)

Indications

• Functional status

- Diffuse parenchymal lung disease
- Pulmonary Hypertension
- Bronchiectasis
- COPD
- Cystic fibrosis

- Predictor of morbidity and mortality
- Heart failure
- COPD
- Diffuse parenchymal lung disease
- Primary pulmonary hypertension

Indications

- Pre treatment and post treatment comparisons
- Lung transplantation
- Lung resection
- Lung volume reduction surgery
- Pulmonary rehabilitation

Absolute contraindications

- Uncontrolled Asthma
- Acute pulmonary embolus
- Thrombosis of lower extremities
- Suspected dissecting aneurysm
- Acute respiratory failure
- Acute noncardiopulmonary disorder (i.e. infection, renal failure, thyrotoxicosis)

- Acute myocardial infarction (3–5 days)
- Unstable angina
- Uncontrolled arrhythmias causing symptoms or haemodynamic compromise
- Active endocarditis, myocarditis or pericarditis
- Uncontrolled heart failure
- Symptomatic severe aortic stenosis

Relative contraindications

- Moderate stenotic valvular heart disease
- Severe untreated arterial hypertension at rest (200 mmHg systolic, 120 mmHg diastolic)
- High-degree atrioventricular block
- Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy
- Significant pulmonary hypertension
- Advanced or complicated pregnancy
- Electrolyte abnormalities
- Orthopaedic impairment that prevents walking

Patient Preparation

- Wear comfortable clothing and appropriate shoes for walking
- Use their usual walking aids during test and this should be documented on the assessment form
- Avoid vigorous exercise within 2 h of beginning the test
- Take usual medications before the test
- If any pulmonary function tests is to be performed, this should occur prior to exercise testing
- For example if Spirometry is performed then patients should rest for at least 15 min before commencing an exercise test

Protocol: Incremental shuttle walk test

- Course is 10 m in length and is identified for the patient by two cones with an inset of 0.5 m from either end), thus avoiding abrupt changes in direction
- Beginning of the test the instructions are played to the patient from an audio recording



FIGURE 1 Course layout for the incremental and endurance shuttle walk tests. Cones are inset 0.5 m from either end to avoid abrupt changes in direction.

Protocol: Incremental shuttle walk test

- Initially slow speed
- Each minute speed increases
- Tests starts in 15 sec
- Level 1 (triple beep 4 sec)
- 20 sec each 10 meter shuttle
- Each minute record heart rate and SpO2

- Patient walks until too breathless or not able to keep up beeps
- Beeps at regular interval
- First beep turn around the cone at one end
- Second beep turn around the cone at other end

Protocol :Incremental shuttle walk test

- Each single beep end of a shuttle
- Each triple beep increase the walking speed
- Easier at starting
- Harder at end

Termination : Incremental shuttle walk test

- **Patient**: indicates that they are unable to continue excessive dyspnoea ,fatigue (commonly leg fatigue) or pain (knee/hip/low back pain)
- Operator : says patient is not fit to continue
- Patient fails to reach the cone/marker in the time allowed time. This is defined as patient being
 0.5 m away from the cone when the bleep sounds on a second successive 10-m length
- When the patient is just outside the 0.5m marker they are advised to increase their speed of walking; if the patient fails to do so then the test is terminated
- SpO2 falls below 80%

Recording

- Heart rate and Spo2
- Calculate the distance walked, in metres
- Record the reason for termination

- Tests done twice
- Repeat on same day 30min apart
- Another day but within one week time

| Unit | ittle Wal | K Test I | Recordin | ng Fo | orm | | Fi | rst name: | | | | |
|--------|------------------|----------|----------|-------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------|----|-----|
| | | | | | La | ast name: | | | | | | |
| Desi | ignation: | | | | | | | O.D. Iddia | - | | | |
| Date | e. | | | | | | | .о.в. (аа/та | п/уууу | | | |
| | | | - | | | | D | iagnosis: | | | | |
| Medic | ation taken | today | Dose | | How man | esting? | s Su Fl | upplemental ow rate: | loxyger | n: yes/no | | |
| | | | + | | prior to t | cound. | — D | evice: | | | | |
| | | | | | | | PV | lethod carrie | ed: | | | |
| | | | | | | | v | /alking aid: y | /es/ no | (specify) | | |
| evel: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TA N | | _ | | _ | | _ | | | | | | _ |
| \$ | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Luciona | | Lucience | _ | | | _ | L COLORA | 1 | 1 |
| | | | ISWT1 | | ISWT2 | - | | | | ESWT1 | ES | WT2 |
| | *1 | | | | | | Date/ | lime: | | | | |
| Date/ | Time: | | | | | | Speed/ | level: | | | | |
| t | Dysphoe | a | | | | | Ŧ | Dysphoe | а | | | |
| Star | HR | | | | | | Star | HR | | | | |
| 0.1 | SpO ₂ | | | | | | * (| spO ₂ | | | | |
| Distar | ice (m): | ~ | | | | | Lime (s | seconds): | _ | | | |
| | Dysphoe | a | | | | | | Dysphoe | а | | | |
| P | Exertion | | | | | | P | Exertion | | | | |
| | HR | | | | | | - | HIK | | | | |
| | SpO ₂ | | | | | | | SpO ₂ | _ | | | |
| ~ | Evertion | a | - | | - | | ~ | Dysphoe | a | | _ | |
| over | Exercion | | - | | - | | IDVO | Exertion | | - | _ | |
| e. | HK SeO | | | | | | Rec | FIR Sec | | | | |
| 8 | SpO ₂ | nation | | | | | Reacon | sp02 | | | | |
| œ | n for torm | | | | | | Reason | for termina | ation: | | | |

Incremental shuttle walk test

| Distance Walked (m) | Speed km/h | ESWT level | |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| 10 | 1.78 | 1 | |
| 20 | 1.78 | 1 | |
| 30 | 1.78 | 1 | |
| 40 | 1.78 | 1 | |
| 50 | 1.78 | 1 | |
| 60 | 1.78 | 1 | |
| 70 | 2.09 | 2 | |
| 80 | 2.09 | 2 | |
| 90 | 2.44 | 3 | |
| 100 | 2.44 | 3 | |
| 110 | 2.44 | 3 | |
| 120 | 2.72 | 4 | |
| 130 | 2.72 | 4 | |
| 140 | 3 | 5 | |
| 150 | 3 | 5 | |
| 160 | 3 | 5 | |
| 170 | 3.27 | 6 | |
| 180 | 3.27 | 6 | |
| 190 | 3.27 | 6 | |
| 200 | 3.6 | 7 | |
| 210 | 3.6 | 7 | |
| 220 | 3.6 | 7 | |

| Distance Walked (m) | Speed km/h | ESWT level |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 230 | 3.6 | 7 |
| 240 | 3.6 | 7 |
| 250 | 3.79 | 8 |
| 260 | 3.79 | 8 |
| 270 | 4.11 | 9 |
| 280 | 4.11 | 9 |
| 290 | 4.11 | 9 |
| 300 | 4.36 | 10 |
| 310 | 4.36 | 10 |
| 320 | 4.36 | 10 |
| 330 | 4.65 | 11 |
| 340 | 4.65 | 11 |
| 350 | 4.65 | 11 |
| 360 | 4.65 | 11 |
| 370 | 4.65 | 11 |
| 380 | 4.97 | 12 |
| 390 | 4.97 | 12 |
| 400 | 4.97 | 12 |
| 410 | 4.97 | 12 |
| 420 | 4.97 | 12 |
| 430 | 5.14 | 13 |
| 440 | 5.14 | 13 |

| Distance Walked (m) | Speed km/h | ESWT level |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 450 | 5.14 | 13 |
| 460 | 5.54 | 14 |
| 470 | 5.54 | 14 |
| 480 | 5.54 | 14 |
| 490 | 5.54 | 14 |
| 500 | 5.54 | 14 |
| 510 | 5.54 | 14 |
| 520 | 5.54 | 14 |
| 530 | 5.76 | 15 |
| 540 | 5.76 | 15 |
| 550 | 5.76 | 15 |
| 560 | 5.76 | 15 |
| 570 | 6 | 16 |
| 580 | 6 | 16 |
| 590 | 6 | 16 |
| 600 | 6 | 16 |
| 610 | 6 | 16 |
| 620 | 6 | 16 |
| 630 | 6 | 16 |
| 640 | 6 | 16 |
| 650 | 6 | 16 |
| 660 | 6 | 16 |

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Comparing peak and submaximal cardiorespiratory responses during field walking tests with incremental cycle ergometry in COPD

- Subjects n=24, moderated to severe COPD
- Completed four sessions > 24 hr apart
- Each session either two 6-min walk tests, incremental shuttle walk tests, endurance shuttle walk tests using standardized protocols, or a single CET, wearing a portable gas analysis unit) which included measures of heart rate and arterial oxygen saturation (SpO2)
- Primary aim: to compare peak and submaximal cardiorespiratory responses during the field walk tests with cycle ergometry test patients of COPD

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Comparing peak and submaximal cardiorespiratory responses during field walking tests with incremental cycle ergometry in COPD

Table 3 Peak (end-test) cardiorespiratory responses

| | 6MWT | ISWT | ESWT | CET |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| $\dot{V}O_2$ (mL/min) | 1168 ± 344 | 1227 ± 310 | 1232 ± 368 | 1186 ± 314 |
| $\dot{V}CO_2$ (mL/min) | $1009 \pm 270^{\dagger}$ | $1036 \pm 327^{\dagger}$ | 1060 ± 342* | 1173 ± 350 |
| Respiratory exchange ratio | $0.87 \pm 0.11^{\dagger}$ | $0.84\pm0.10^{\circ}$ | $0.86 \pm 0.12^{\dagger}$ | $\textbf{0.99} \pm \textbf{0.17}$ |
| \dot{V}_{F} (L/min) | $41 \pm 17^{\dagger}$ | $43 \pm 15^{\dagger}$ | 44 ± 16* | 48 ± 17 |
| Tīdal volume (L) | $\textbf{1.39} \pm \textbf{0.46}$ | 1.35 ± 0.42 | $\textbf{1.36} \pm \textbf{0.46}$ | 1.45 ± 0.46 |
| Heart rate (beats/min) | 128 ± 17 | 127 ± 14 | 130 ± 15 | 128 ± 19 |
| SpO ₂ (%) | $88\pm5^{\dagger}$ | $88\pm5^{\dagger}$ | $88\pm5^{\dagger}$ | 95 ± 4 |



Figure 1 Data are mean and standard error. All participants contribute to each data point. Figures are patterns of response for; (a) rate of oxygen uptake $(\dot{V}O_2)$, (b) rate of carbon dioxide output $(\dot{V}CO_2)$, (c) minute ventilation (\dot{V}_E) , (d) tidal volume (V_T), (e) heart rate (HR) and (f) arterial oxygen saturation measured via pulse oximetry (SpO₂) for each test. •, cycle ergometry test; •, 6-min walk test; •, incremental shuttle walk test; •, endurance shuttle walk test; **P* < 0.05 for difference between cycle ergometry with all other tests.

Respirology (2012) 17, 278–284

Shuttle Walking Test as Predictor of Survival in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Patients Enrolled in a Rehabilitation Program

- 416 patients performed an ISWT before entering a 7-week outpatient pulmonary rehabilitation program
- Follow up for 4.5 yrs
- Risk of dying increased markedly when ISWT was lower than 170 m (RR 2.84, 95% CI: 2.05-3.93)



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Review)

McCarthy B, Casey D, Devane D, Murphy K, Murphy E, Lacasse Y

| Change in maximal exercise (Incremental Shuttle walk test (ISWT)) Distance metres Follow-up: median 12 weeks | Median change = 1 metre | Mean maximal exercise (incremental shuttle walk test) in the intervention groups was 39.77 metres higher (22.38 to 57.15 higher) | 694 (8 studies) |
|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------|
|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------|

Minimum clinically important improvement for the incremental shuttle walking test

S J Singh,^{1,2} P W Jones,³ R Evans,¹ M D L Morgan¹

- 372 patients (205 men) performed an ISWT before and after a 7-week outpatient pulmonary rehabilitation programme
- Responses: better, slightly better, about the same, slightly worse or worse; each response was assigned a numerical value from 1 to 5
- Slightly better 47.5 m (95% CI 38.6 to 56.5)
- Better 78.7 m (95% Cl 70.5 to 86.9)
- Same 18.0 m (95% CI 4.5 to 31.5)

Minimum clinically important improvement for the incremental shuttle walking test

S J Singh,^{1,2} P W Jones,³ R Evans,¹ M D L Morgan¹

- Minimum clinically important improvement for the ISWT is 47.5 m.
- Patients were able to distinguish an additional benefit at 78.7 m



Figure 2 Mean difference (in metres) and 95% confidence intervals in patients whose exercise tolerance was perceived to be "better", slightly better" and "about the same" (responses 1, 2 and 3, respectively).

Endurance shuttle walk test

- Procedure is similar to ISWT
- Warm- up period of 1.5 min
- Standardised instructions for the participant played from the audio recording, advising the individual that at the next bleep the speed of walking will increase
- Speed may be taken from a pre-defined percentage of peak performance on the ISWT (e.g. 70– 85% estimated VO2peak)
- A triple bleep indicates that the test has started

The endurance shuttle walking test: a responsive measure in pulmonary rehabilitation for COPD patients

T Eaton, P Young, K Nicol and J Kolbe Green Lane Respiratory Services, Auckland City Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand

| | Baseline $n = 20$ | 8 weeks $n = 17$ | Mean (SD) | P-value | Standardized mean change (SD) |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| Field exercise tests | | | | | |
| ESWT | | | | | |
| Distance, m | 313 (193) | 633 (526) | 302 (387) | 0.005 | 0.54 (0.69) |
| Time, min | 5.1 (2.8) | 9.6 (7.0) | 4.5 (5.4) | 0.004 | 0.59 (0.71) |
| Post Borg | 4.7 (1.9) | 3.9 (2.0) | -0.9 (2.5) | 0.168 | -0.32 (0.91) |
| 6MWT | N 19 | | | | |
| Distance, m | 351 (104) | 420 (102) | 47 (79) | 0.038 | 0.32 (0.54) |
| Post Borg | 4.8 (2.6) | 3.9 (2.2) | -0.9 (2.3) | 0.145 | -0.28 (0.70) |

Significance of changes in endurance shuttle walking performance

Véronique Pepin,^{1,2} Louis Laviolette,^{3,4} Cynthia Brouillard,⁴ Louise Sewell,⁵ Sally J Singh,⁵ Sue M Revill,⁶ Yves Lacasse,⁴ François Maltais⁴

| Table 2 | Improvements | in ESW1 | time a | according | to | perception |
|-----------|--------------|---------|--------|-----------|----|------------|
| of change | | | | | | |

| | Improvement (s) | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Perception | Rehabi | ilitation | Bronchodilatation | | | |
| of change | N | Δ time (s) | N | Δ time (s) | | |
| -3.0 | 0 | _ | 1 | -335.00 | | |
| -2.0 | 0 | _ | 6 | -194.50±115.78 | | |
| -1.0 | 2 | 144.0±9.9 | 12 | -68.25 ± 47.18 | | |
| 0.0 | 15 | 24.9±294.0 | 29 | -2.48±101.20 | | |
| 1.0 | 21 | 265.7±308.2 | 51 | 22.71±133.65 | | |
| 2.0 | 46 | 315.1±356.9 | 31 | 103.42±143.37 | | |
| 3.0 | 48 | 484.3±374.5 | 13 | 90.62±120.00 | | |

Patients rated their performance on a 7-point Likert scale. The scale ranged from 3 to +3 and included the following ratings: 3 (large deterioration), 2 (moderate deterioration), 1 (slight deterioration), 0 (no change), 1 (slight improvement), 2 (moderate improvement) and 3 (large improvement)

Values are mean \pm SD.

ESWT, endurance shuttle walk test.

Significance of changes in endurance shuttle walking performance

Véronique Pepin,^{1,2} Louis Laviolette,^{3,4} Cynthia Brouillard,⁴ Louise Sewell,⁵ Sally J Singh,⁵ Sue M Revill,⁶ Yves Lacasse,⁴ François Maltais⁴

 Table 3
 Improvements in ESWT distance according to perception of change

| | Improvement (s) | | | | | |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Perception | Rehabi | ilitation | Bronchodilatation | | | |
| of change | N | Δ distance (m) | N | Δ distance (m) | | |
| -3.0 | 0 | _ | 1 | -460.0 | | |
| -2.0 | 0 | _ | 6 | -377.5 ± 278.0 | | |
| -1.0 | 2 | 96.7±41.3 | 12 | -87.5±52.1 | | |
| 0.0 | 15 | 14.7±250.2 | 29 | -7.9±137.7 | | |
| 1.0 | 21 | 259.3±455.5 | 51 | 37.6±197.3 | | |
| 2.0 | 46 | 276.3±312.3 | 31 | 140.6±192.9 | | |
| 3.0 | 48 | 492.7±445.3 | 13 | 179.2±278.1 | | |
| | | | | | | |

Patients rated their performance on a 7-point Likert scale. The scale ranged from 3 to +3 and included the following ratings: 3 (large deterioration), 2 (moderate deterioration), 1 (slight deterioration), 0 (no change), 1 (slight improvement), 2 (moderate improvement) and 3 (large improvement)

Values are mean±SD.

ESWT, endurance shuttle walk test.

- Performed along a flat, straight course with a hard surface
- Walking course be 30 m or more in length
- Ends of the course should be marked such that they are easily visible to patients
- Patient should be encouraged every 60 s using the standard phrases
- If the patient stops walking during the test, the timer must not be stopped. The patient should be allowed to rest while sitting or standing, as they prefer
- While the patient is stopped, standardised encouragement should be provided every 30 s
- Time that the patient stopped and the time that walking is recommenced should be recorded

TABLE 6 Standardised encouragement for the 6-min walk test

| 1 min | You are doing well. You have 5 minutes to go. |
|--|--|
| 2 min | Keep up the good work. You have 4 minutes to go. |
| 3 min | You are doing well. You are halfway. |
| 4 min | Keep up the good work. You have only 2 minutes left. |
| 5 min | You are doing well. You have only 1 minute to go. |
| 6 min | Please stop where you are. |
| If the patient stops during the test, every 30 s once Sp0₂ is ≥85% | Please resume walking whenever you feel able. |

- Oxyhemoglobin saturation
- Heart rate
- Dyspnea
- Subjective fatigue
- 6 min walk work (6 min walk distance * body weight)

- Reliable
- Safe
- Minimally important clinical difference of 30 m for adult patients with chronic respiratory disease
6min walk test – recording sheet

6 Minute walk Test Result Record

Date:

| Patient Name | Age/ Sex |
|--------------|----------|
| CR NoC | C No |
| Diagnosis: | |

| Parameter | SpO ₂ (%) | Pulse Rate(bpm) | BP (mm of Hg) |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Base Line | | | |
| Post 6MWD | | | |

| Parameter | Baseline | During Test | Post Test |
|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| Dyspnoea | | | |
| Fatigue | | | |

Minimum SpO2 during test =

Low SpO₂

| Laps | Time | SpO ₂ (%) | PR (bpm) |
|------|------|----------------------|----------|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |

Total Distance:

Limiting Factors

SOB

Leg fatigue

Others

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Effect of pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Hong Zhang, Dandan Hu, Yikai Xu, Lixia Wu and Liming Lou

| Study | WMD (95% CI) | % Weigh |
|--|----------------------------|------------|
| Engstrom (1999) | 40.20 (34.18, 46.22) | 4.68 |
| Griffiths (2000) | 20.00 (-6.31, 46.31) | 3.55 |
| Ringbaek (2000) | 29.00 (-5.07, 63.07) | 3.03 |
| Finnerty (2001) | 67.00 (56.05, 77.95) | 4.50 |
| oxall (2005) | 34.80 (-4.23, 73.83) | 2.72 |
| arapolat (2007) | 58.10 (23.31, 92.89) | 2.98 |
| h (2008) | 23.35 (0.29, 46.41) | 3.78 |
| onesky-cuenco (2009) | 92.60 (-147.03, 332.23) | 0.16 |
| ernandez (2009) | 66.00 (12.52, 119.48) | 1.98 |
| heander (2009) | 24.10 (-4.40, 52.60) | 3.40 |
| eh (2010) | 68.00 (-42.18, 178.18) | 0.68 |
| anwetering (2010) | 21.50 (-44.93, 87.93) | 1.50 |
| han (2011) | 0.58 (-25.51, 26.67) | 3.57 |
| iottlieb (2011) | 23.72 (-44.34, 91.78) | 1.45 |
| aumann (2012) | 59.00 (54.75, 63.25) | 4.72 |
| e souto araujo (2012) | 54.90 (-43.83, 153.63) | 0.82 |
| han (2013) | 21.81 (19.07, 24.55) | 4.75 |
| lurgun (2013) | 73.60 (37.22, 109.98) | 2.88 |
| ameron-Tucker (2014) | -1.40 (-22.40, 19.60) | 3.91 |
| e Sousa Pinto (2014) | B1.00 (13.14, 148.86) | 1.46 |
| upta (2014) | 8.80 (-12.27, 29.87) | 3.91 |
| la (2014) | 13.20 (-6.59, 32.99) | 3.99 |
| iu (2014) + | 51.00 (37.75, 64.25) | 4.39 |
| Vootton (2014) | 23.00 (-9.82, 55.82) | 3.11 |
| ukuoka (2016) | -27.50 (-142.48, 87.48) | 0.63 |
| tanjita (2016) | 43.04 (9.67, 76.41) | 3.08 |
| e Roos (2017) | 39.10 (-10.60, 88.80) | 2.15 |
| aminsky (2017) | 42.00 (-19.19, 103.19) | 1.67 |
| hu (2018) | 82 34 (36.87, 127.81) | 2.36 |
| ahham (2019) 🔶 🔶 | -14.00 (-29.03, 1.03) | 4.29 |
| Vang (2019) | 60.50 (36.70, 84.30) | 3.73 |
| udhawati (2019) | 19.00 (-26.29, 64.29) | 2.37 |
| antatong (2020) | ··· 112.04 (89.52, 134.56) | 3.81 |
| o (2020) | 12.77 (-7.34.32.88) | 3.97 |
| Overall (I-squared = 91.6%, p = 0.000) | 36.34 (26.51, 46.17) | 100.00 |
| IOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis | | |
| | | |

- Changes in the exercise capacity from baseline were measured using 6MWT in 34 studies.
- 6MWT distance was significantly improved (weighted mean difference (WMD), 36.34;
 95% confidence interval (CI): 26.51–46.17; p

< .001; in the pulmonary rehabilitation

group compared to the control group

Annals of medicine. 2022 Dec 31;54(1):262-73



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Review)

McCarthy B, Casey D, Devane D, Murphy K, Murphy E, Lacasse Y

| Change in functional exercise ca- pacity (6MWT)) Distance metres Follow-up: median 12 weeks | Median change = 3.4 metres | Mean functional exercise capacity (6MWT)) in the intervention groups was 43.93 metres higher (32.64 to 55.21 higher) |
|--|-------------------------------|--|
| | | |

Small changes in six-minute walk distance are important in diffuse parenchymal lung disease

Anne E. Holland ^{a,b,*}, Catherine J. Hill ^{c,d}, Matthew Conron ^e, Prue Munro ^b, Christine F. McDonald ^{d,f}

- N=48 subjects
- 24 Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis
- Underwent exercise programme for 8 weeks
- 6 min walk test before and after 8 weeks
- Minimally important difference 30.5 m (area under the curve 0.89, 95% confidence interval 0.81-0.98)

Reference equations for 6 min walk tests

| First author [ref.] | st author Sex Reference equation f.] | | ٢ |
|------------------------|---|---|------------|
| CASANOVA [173] | Males | $6MWD = 361 - (age \times 4) + (height \times 2) + (3 \times HRmax/HRmax%pred) - (weight \times 1.5)$ | 0.09-0.73# |
| | Females | $6MWD=361-(age \times 4)+(height \times 2)+(3 \times HRmax/HRmax%pred)-(weight \times 1.5)-30$ | 0.09-0.73# |
| DOURADO [174] | Both | 6MWD=299.296-(2.728 × age)-(2.160 × weight)+(361.731 × height [¶])+(56.386 × sex [§]) | 0.54 |
| | Both | 6MWD=109.764-(1.794 × age)-(2.383 × weight)+(423.110 × height [¶])+(2.422 × grip strength) | 0.54 |
| HILL [175] | Both | $6MWD = 970.7 + (-5.5 \times age) + (56.3 \times sex^{5})$ | |
| SOARES [176] | Both | $6MWD = 511 + (height^2 \times 0.0066) - (age^2 \times 0.030) - (BMI^2 \times 0.068)$ | |
| Osses [177] | Males | $6MWD = 530 - (3.31 \times age) + (2.36 \times height) - (1.49 \times weight)$ | 0.55 |
| | Females | $6MWD = 457 - (3.46 \times age) + (2.61 \times height) - (1.57 \times weight)$ | 0.63 |
| ALAMERI [178] | Both | 6MWD=(2.81 × height)+(0.79 × age)-28.5 | 0.25 |
| BEN SAAD [179] | Both | $6MWD = 720.50 - (160 \times sex^{f}) - (5.14 \times age) - (2.23 \times weight) + (2.72 \times height)$ | 0.77 |
| IWAMA [180] | Both | $6MWD = 622.461 - (1.846 \times age) + (61.503 \times sex^{5})$ | 0.30 |
| JENKINS [181] | Males | $6MWD = 867 - (5.71 \times age) + (1.03 \times height)$ | |
| | Females | 6MWD=525-(2.86 × age)+(2.71 × height)-(6.22 × BMI) | |
| MASMOUDI [182] | Both | $6MWD = 299.8 - [4.43 \times age] + [342.6 \times height^{\text{T}}] - [1.46 \times weight] + [62.5 \times sex^{\text{F}}]$ | 0.60 |
| CAMARRI [183] | Both | 6MWD=64.69+(3.12 × height)+(23.29 × FEV1) | 0.43 |
| | Both | $6MWD = 216.90 + [4.12 \times height] - [1.75 \times age] - [1.15 \times weight] - [34.04 \times sex^{f}]$ | 0.36 |
| CHETTA [184] | Both | $6MWD = 518.853 + (1.25 \times height) - (2.816 \times age) - (39.07 \times sex^{f})$ | 0.42 |
| Рон [185] | Both | 6MWD=(5.50 × HRmax/HRmax%pred)+(6.94 × height)-(4.49 × age)-(3.51 × weight)-473.27 | 0.78 |
| GIBBONS [186] | Both | $6MWD = 868.8 - (age \times 2.99) - (sex^{f} \times 74.7)$ | 0.41 |
| ENRIGHT [187] | Males | $6MWD = 510 + (2.2 \times height) - (0.93 \times weight) - (5.3 \times age)$ | 0.20 |
| | Females | $6MWD = 493 + (2.2 \times height) - (0.93 \times weight) - (5.3 \times age)$ | 0.20 |
| TROOSTERS [188] | Both | 6MWD=218+(5.14 × height)-(5.32 × age)-(1.80 × weight)+(51.31 × sex [§]) | 0.66 |
| ENRIGHT [189] | Males | $6MWD = (7.57 \times height) - (5.02 \times age) - (1.76 \times weight) - 309$ | 0.42 |
| | Females | $6MWD = (2.11 \times height) - (2.29 \times weight) - (5.78 \times age) + 667$ | 0.38 |
| | Males | $6MWD = 1.140 - (5.61 \times BMI) - (6.94 \times age)$ | |
| | Females | $6MWD = 1.017 - (6.24 \times BMI) - (5.83 \times age)$ | |

Eur Respir J 2014; 44: 1447–1478

- Cardiopulmonary exercise test
- Its advantages are in measuring the fitness level and recovery rate capability, following an energetic exercise. During recovery, shorter time for HR to return to resting values, suggests better fitness level
- It is also a good measurement of fitness and a person's ability to recover, after an all-out exercise
- The Harvard-Step-Test characteristic is an endurance fitness test
- The test calculates the ability to exercise nonstop for lengthy intervals of time without exhausting

- The Harvard-Step-Test is conducted as follows:
- The individual taking the test steps up and down 150 times on a platform in a cycle of one-step per 2 s for 5 min.
- The platform is at a height of about 50 cm or 20 inches.
- Subjects must hold rate of 30 steps per minute up for 5 min or until exhaustion.
- To ensure the right speed, a metronome is used

- Exhaustion is the point at which the subject cannot maintain the stepping rate for 15 s
- With the test completion, the subject immediately sits down and measures its heartbeats postexercise, between 1 to 1.5 min (pulse 1), 2 to 2.5 min (pulse 2), and 3 to 3.5 min (pulse 3)
- Results are written down and plotted as a simple fitness index equation: (te·100)/(HR·2)
- te = time until exhaustion in seconds; HR = total heartbeats counted

| Rating | Fitness level |
|-------------|---------------|
| Excellent | 96 and above |
| Good | 83–95 |
| Average | 68–82 |
| Low average | 54–67 |
| Poor | 53 and less |

- Example
- Following 5 min (300 s), HRs measured were as follows: Pulse 1 = 50 beats, Pulse 2 = 55 beats, Pulse 3 = 60 beats. Total heart beats = 50 + 55 + 60 = 165
- Fitness index = $(300 \cdot 100) / (165 \cdot 2) = 91$

CLINICAL LUNG AND HEART/LUNG TRANSPLANTATION

The 15-Step Oximetry Test: a Reliable Tool to Identify Candidates for Lung Transplantation Among Patients With Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis

David Shitrit, MD,^{a,b} Victorya Rusanov, MD,^{a,b} Nir Peled, MD,^{a,b} Anat Amital, MD,^{a,b} Leonardo Fuks, MD,^{a,b} and Mordechai R. Kramer, MD^{a,b}

- N = 51 patients with progressive idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis
- Findings on the 15-step climbing test, pulmonary function tests, cardiopulmonary exercise test and 6-minute walk distance test were assessed at baseline
- Participants were prospectively followed for 2 years to determine the relationship between the test parameters and survival

 Table 2. Main Results of Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test, 6-Minute

 Walk Distance Test and 15-Step Climbing Test in 51 Patients With

 Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis

| Parameters | Value |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Resting pulmonary function | |
| FEV ₁ (% predicted) | 61 ± 21 |
| FVC (% predicted) | 62 ± 2 |
| TLC (% predicted) | 65 ± 15 |
| RV (% predicted) | 89 ± 25 |
| DLco (% predicted) | 36 ± 14 |
| Cardiopulmonary exercise test | |
| Vo ₂ max (ml/kg/min) | 11 ± 3 |
| Breathing reserve (liters/min) | 25 ± 19 |
| Saturation at the end of exercise (%) | 88 ± 6 |
| 6-minute walk distance test | |
| Saturation at rest (%) | 94 ± 3 |
| Saturation after exercise (%) | 86 ± 8 |
| Saturation difference (%) | 8 ± 7 |
| Distance (m) | 397 ± 98 |
| 15-step climbing test | |
| Saturation baseline (%) | 95 ± 3 |
| Saturation lowest (%) | 86 ± 7 |
| Saturation difference (%) | 9 ± 5 |
| Exercise time (sec) | 52 ± 12 |
| Desaturation time (sec) | 74 ± 21 |
| Recovery time (sec) | 78 ± 44 |
| Desaturation area (sec%) | $1,314 \pm 1,078$ |

Table 3. Comparison of Clinical Data of Patients Who Survived and Patients Who Did Not Survive or Underwent Lung Transplantation (n = 51)

| | Survived | Did not survive or lung transplanted | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Characteristic | (n = 29) | (n = 22) | <i>p</i> -value |
| Age (years) | 61 ± 13 | 55 ± 7 | 0.075 |
| M:F | 22:7 | 12:10 | 0.520 |
| FVC (% predicted) | 64 ± 21 | 54 ± 15 | 0.067 |
| FEV ₁ (% predicted) | 66 ± 23 | 54 ± 14 | 0.04 |
| TLC (% predicted) | 68 ± 15 | 60 ± 12 | 0.053 |
| RV (% predicted) | 90 ± 25 | 88 ± 24 | 0.90 |
| DLco (% predicted) | 42 ± 14 | 27 ± 7 | 0.0001 |
| Saturation at rest (%) | 95 ± 2 | 92 ± 2 | 0.0001 |
| Saturation after 6MWD (%) | 89 ± 6 | 82 ± 8 | 0.005 |
| 6MWD (m) | 417 ± 111 | 369 ± 69 | 0.085 |
| Vo ₂ max (kg/ml/min) | 12 ± 3.7 | 9.5 ± 1.9 | 0.005 |
| 15-step test (%) | 88 ± 6 | 82 ± 6 | 0.0007 |
| 15-step test (%) | 7.2 ± 4 | 10 ± 4 | 0.0001 |
| Exercise time, 15-step test (sec) | 51 ± 12 | 52±11 | 0.92 |
| Desaturation time (sec) | 70 ± 23 | 78 ± 18 | 0.164 |
| Recovery time (sec) | 62 ± 32 | 97 ± 48 | 0.004 |
| Desaturation area (sec%) | 868 ± 799 | 1,901 ± 1,131 | 0.0001 |

J Heart Lung Transplant. 2009 Apr;28(4):328-33

- The Cooper 12-min run test requires the person being tested to run or walk as far as possible in a 12 min time
- The purpose of the 12-min run/walk test is to cover a maximum distance during the 12 min period
- The test is usually executed in a stadium, on the running track, where the distance of each lap is known. It is an easy test to perform on large groups

• Equipment Needed

- A stopwatch is needed to ensure that the individual runs for the correct amount of time.
- Safety First. This field test is a stress fitness test and therefore it is recommended to have a medical backup while performing the test
- Location. This test takes place on a stadium track with clearly marked distance. In addition, it is
 possible to perform the test on a treadmill, with an inclination of one degree. Speed can be
 changed as the individual feels to simulate outdoor running

- Before starting the test, a short warm-up for 10–15 min is advised
- Following the warm-up, the individual runs or walks as far as he/she can within 12 min
- The total number of miles or kilometers covered in 12 min should be recorded
- Results can be transferred to VO2max as follows

In meters: VO2 max =(d12-504.9)/44.73

where d12 = distance covered (in meters) in 12 min

Alternatively, In miles : VO2max = (35.97 × miles)-11.29

| Age males | Excellent | Above average | Average | Below average | Poor |
|-------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-------|
| 20–29 | 2800 | 2400 = 2800 | 2200-2399 | 1600-2199 | 1600 |
| 30–39 | 2700 | 2300-2700 | 1900 = 2299 | 1500-1999 | 1500 |
| 40-49 | 2500 | 2100-2500 | 1700-2099 | 1400-1699 | 1400 |
| 50 + > | 2400 | 200-2400 | 1600-1999 | 1300-1599 | 1300 |
| Age females | Excellent | Above average | Average | Below average | Poor |
| 20-29 | >2700 | 2200-2700 | 1800-2199 | 1500-1799 | <1500 |
| 30–39 | >2500 | 2000-2500 | 1700-1999 | 400-1699 | <1400 |
| 40-49 | >2300 | 1900-2300 | 1500-1899 | 1200-1499 | <1200 |
| 50 + > | >2200 | 1700-2200 | 1400-1699 | 1100-1399 | <1100 |

Table 3.2 Comparing individual results for group's norms by age and gender

Laboratory tests

Incremental Work test

- Evaluation of both submaximal and peak exercise responses
- identification of underlying mechanisms of exercise intolerance

- Procedure
- Procedure standardised and is automated (i.e. computer-driven cycle ergometer or treadmill)
- Cycle ergometer > treadmill (less expensive, occupies little space, less prone to movement, requires relatively little patient practice and (unlike the treadmill) the external power output is accurately known)

Incremental work test

- Consists of baseline measurements lasting at least 2–3 minutes
- Then a warm-up period of 3 minutes unloaded work
- Followed by the incremental part of the exercise test
- Ideally, peak work rate should be reached within 8–12 minutes
- WR is incremented (Δ WR/ Δ t) for an IET such that the tolerable limit (tLIM) is reached within ~10 min
- $\Delta WR/\Delta t$ of 5–10 W·min–1 may be used in more severe patients to ensure a sufficient test duration



Incremental work test

- Facilities:
- Cycle or treadmill, a room, metabolic system, cardiac monitoring and pulse oximeter
- Safety
- Adverse events are rare during properly supervised tests







Maximal oxygen uptake

- VO₂max is the maximum amount of oxygen an individual can consume at peak strenuous exercise
- It is the maximum capacity of an individual's body to transport and use oxygen during incremental exercise, which reflects the physical fitness of the individual.
- VO2max is widely accepted as the single best measure of cardiovascular fitness and maximal aerobic power.
- VO2 is usually expressed relative to bodyweight, measured as milliliters of oxygen used in 1 min per kg of body weight (mLO2 kg-1 min-1)
- VO2 and total energy demands are determined by body size
- Normalize VO2 to body surface area, which can be more accurate when comparing children and gender



Fig. 3.3 Two different reference points determining VO_{2peak} and VO_{2max} . Determining VO_{2peak} differs from that of VO_{2max} . VO_{2peak} is defined when the subject withdraws voluntarily from the test, while VO_{2max} is defined whenever VO_2 does not increase further with increased intensity

- V'O2peak is an excellent general predictor of survival for most chronic respiratory diseases
- In studies guage severity
- In Pulmonary artery Hypertension
- COPD
- Interstitial Lung disease

Incremental Exercise test

- 1218 patients enrolled in the National Emphysema Treatment Trial
- COPD V'O2peak change of \sim 0.04±0.01 L·min-1
- 4 ± 1 watt Minimally important clinically difference

Incremental prognostic value of cardiopulmonary exercise testing and resting haemodynamics in pulmonary arterial hypertension

Roland Wensel ^{a,b,*}, Darrel P. Francis ^a, F. Joachim Meyer ^c, Christian F. Opitz ^d, Leonhard Bruch ^e, Michael Halank ^f, Jörg Winkler ^g, Hans-Jürgen Seyfarth ^h, Sven Gläser ⁱ, Friedrich Blumberg ^j, Anne Obst ⁱ, Michael Dandel ^k, Roland Hetzer ^k, Ralf Ewert ⁱ



Exercise testing to predict outcome in idiopathic versus associated pulmonary arterial hypertension

Gaël Deboeck*, Cristina Scoditti[#], Sandrine Huez*, Jean-Luc Vachiéry*, Michel Lamotte*, Linda Sharples¹, Christian Melot⁺ and Robert Naeije⁵



The Prognostic Value of Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis

Charlene D. Fell¹, Lyrica Xiaohong Liu², Caroline Motika³, Ella A. Kazerooni⁴, Barry H. Gross⁴, William D. Travis⁵, Thomas V. Colby⁶, Susan Murray², Galen B. Toews⁷, Fernando J. Martinez⁷, and Kevin R. Flaherty⁷



Incremental exercise test

• Peak Work rate

- WR peak is the highest WR achieved in incremental exercise test at the subject's limit of tolerance
- Coefficients of variation for WR peak in adult respiratory patients range from 3.5% to 13.8%
- Less precise
- Limitation : dependent on the rate of work rate increase increase
- Post Pulmonary rehabilitation
- WR peak is at least as responsive as V'O₂peak



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Review)

McCarthy B, Casey D, Devane D, Murphy K, Murphy E, Lacasse Y

| Change in maximal exercise ca- pacity (cycle ergometer) Workmax (watt) Follow-up: median 12 weeks | Median change = -0.05 watts | Mean maximal exercise capacity (cycle ergometer) in the intervention groups was 6.77 watts higher (1.89 to 11.65 higher) | 779 (16 studies) |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---------------------|
|--|--------------------------------|---|---------------------|

High-intensity constant work-rate exercise tests

- Procedure:
- Treadmill or cycle ergometer
- Automated and less operator-dependent
- IET must first be completed (ideally on a separate day or at least allowing a sufficient rest period) for an appropriate work rate for the constant work-rate exercise test to be estimated
- Assign work rate based on a fixed percentage of IET WR peak (CWRET WRs are selected to be 75–80% of IET WRpeak)

High-intensity constant work-rate exercise tests

- Facilities: Cycle or treadmill, a room, metabolic system or spirometer, cardiac monitoring and pulse oximeter
- Monitoring:
- Continuous monitoring of SpO2 and ECG
- Concomitant gas exchange measurement increases the value
- Safety:
- Safe and cardiopulmonary resuscitation should be available nearby

High-intensity constant work-rate exercise tests

- Criteria to determine intolerance:
- Typical criteria for termination include ≤10 s sustained below a lower-bound target frequency despite verbal encouragement (typically 60 rpm, but bounds of 50–70 rpm for COPD patients are acceptable
- The point at which the patient is unable to regain the target frequency despite encouragement defines tLIM (time to the limit of tolerance)
- Termination:
- It has been recommended that the test should be terminated if SpO2 falls below 80%
- tLIM (time to the limit of tolerance)

• Tolerance time for a CWRET is the duration from the WR imposition to the point of task failure

- Major variables
- t LIM iso time
- Isotime Inspiratory capacity and Isotime dyspnea
- Physiological/ perceptual variables
- Isotime V'O2,
- Isotime V'E,
- Work Rate, Heart rate, SpO2,
- Leg fatigue, flow–volume and blood analysis

Clinical relevance of constant power exercise duration changes in COPD

L. Puente-Maestu*, F. Villar[#], J. de Miguel*, W.W. Stringer[¶], P. Sanz*, M.L. Sanz⁺, J. García de Pedro* and Y. Martínez-Abad*

- 105 patients
- Underwent pulmonary rehabilitation for 8 weeks
- 75% and 85% CWR endurance tests, pre-treatment t LIM was 397 \pm 184 s and 315 \pm 194 s. The average increases in t LIM after intervention are 289 \pm 311 s and 138 \pm 147 s, or 62 \pm 63% and 48 \pm 57%, respectively, for the 75% and 85% CWR tests
- Slightly better: improvement by 34 (29–39)% or 101 (86–116) s in the 75% CWR test and by 31 (25–34)% or 67 (61–85) s in the 85% CWR test calculated as minimally significant difference

Clinical relevance of constant power exercise duration changes in COPD

L. Puente-Maestu*, F. Villar[#], J. de Miguel*, W.W. Stringer[¶], P. Sanz*, M.L. Sanz⁺, J. García de Pedro* and Y. Martínez-Abad*

| ABLE 4 Change after treatment in the different groups according to their perceived improvement | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| | "Worse" | "A little bit worse" | "About the same" | "A little bit better" | "Better" | |
| Subjects n | 5 | 7 | 25 | 33 | 35 | |
| M/F n | 5:0 | 6:1 | 21:4 | 25:8 | 28:7 | |
| Baseline dyspnoea [#] | 16.2±5.5 | 15.5±4.1 | 15.4±3.3 | 15.4±3.3 | 17.6±1.7* | |
| Baseline tLIM,75 s | 281±81 | 293±176 | 308±180 | 384±191 | 456±176 | |
| Baseline <i>t</i> LIM,85 s | 169±45 | 265 ± 143 | 248±177 | 291±177 | 379 <u>+</u> 207 | |
| Atlim,75 s | -100±54 | -29±16 | 31±32 | 101±26 | 521 ± 166 | |
| \tLIM,85 S | -68±22 | -25±16 | 13±17 | 67±28 | 299 ± 120 | |
| \tlim,75 % | -35±15 | -12±8 | 12±12 | 34 ± 16 | 121 ± 41 | |
| \tlim,85 % | -40±17 | -15 ± 14 | 8±14 | 31 ± 14 | 92±38 | |
| Effect size at 75% ¹ | -0.8±0.2 | -0.2±0.1 | 0.2±0.1 | 0.6±0.2 | 3.2±1.2 | |
| Effect size at 85% ⁺ | -0.5±0.1 | -0.2±0.1 | 0.2±0.1 | 0.7±0.3 | 1.4±0.6 | |
| ACRQ-D | -5.2+2.7 | -1.8+0.7 | 1.5+1.5 | 4.2+1.2 | 10+7 | |

Data are presented as mean \pm sp, unless otherwise indicated. M: male; F: female; *tLIM*,75 and *tLIM*,85: endurance to constant work-rate test at 75% and 85%, respectively, of the peak work rate; Δt LIM,75 and Δt LIM,85: improvement after leg training in the 75% and 85% tests, respectively; Δ CRQ-D: change in the breathlessness score of the chronic respiratory questionnaire initial dyspnoea score. *: CRQ-D score; *: Δt LIM,75 divided by the sp of the baseline 75% test; *: Δt LIM,85 divided by the sp of the baseline 85% test. *: p<0.05.



- Mean MCID threshold 105 s
- Lower limit of 95% CI MCID threshold- 60s





- Mean MCID threshold 33%
- Lower limit of 95% CI MCID threshold- 22%



- Mean MCID threshold 105 s
- Lower limit of 95% CI MCID threshold- 60s

- Mean MCID threshold 33%
- Lower limit of 95% CI MCID threshold- 22%



- Mean MCID threshold 105s
- Lower limit of 95% CI MCID threshold- 60s

---- Suggested mean MCID threshold#

LABA

LAMA

LABA + ICS

LAMA + LABA

...... Suggested lower limit of 95% CI MCID threshold



- Mean MCID threshold 33%
- Lower limit of 95% CI MCID threshold- 22%

• Isotime responses

- Measurements of variables made at specific time points
- Responses are effort-independent
- V'O2, V'CO2, V'E, inspiratory capacity, breathing pattern, dyspnoea, leg effort, muscle fatigue, cardiac output, heart rate and arterial lactate concentration
- No specific information has been obtained on the ability of iso time measurements to predict clinical outcomes
- MCID is not established for iso time measurements

- Isotime response Inspiratory capacity
- Exercise dynamic hyperinflation
- Procedure: Subjects are required to take a deep inspiration, after normal expiration, at predetermined intervals during exercise (typically every 2 min)
- Difference between inspiratory capacity at rest and during exercise
- Changes in inspiratory capacity 0.14 L (or 4.5% predicted) have been consistently associated with significantly increased tLIM in moderate-to-severe COPD patients



Relationships between the changes in a) endurance time (time to the limit of tolerance (tLIM)); b) dyspnoea at iso time; and c) iso time inspiratory capacity (IC) after the administration of bronchodilators. Mean minimal clinically important difference (MCID) thresholds of a) 105 s; b) 1 point; and c) 0.2 L. #: lower limit of 95% CI 60 s.*: p<0.05

- Isotime response- Dyspnea
- 10-point Borg scale (CR-10)
- 100-mm visual analogue scale (VAS)
- Dyspnoea should be measured at rest when the patient is ready to exercise, at least every 2 min during the test and at end-exercise
- Neither iso time nor end-exercise dyspnoea scores have been specifically studied as predictive variables

Borg RPE Scale

Borg CR10 Scale

| Scoring | Level of Exertion | | | | |
|---------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 6 | No Exertion | Scoring Level of Exertion | | | |
| 7 | Extremely Light | 0 | No Exertion | | |
| 8 | | 0.5 | Very very Slight | | |
| 9 | Very Light | 1 | Very Slight | | |
| 10 | | 2 | Slight | | |
| 11 | Light | 3 | Moderate | | |
| 12 | Somewhat Hard | 4 | Somewhat Severe | | |
| 13 | Somewhat Hard | 5 | Severe | | |
| 15 | Hard (Heavy) | 6 | | | |
| 16 | | 7 | Very Severe | | |
| 17 | Very Hard | 8 | | | |
| 18 | | 0 | Vory vory Sovere | | |
| 19 | Extremely Hard | 9 | very very severe | | |
| 20 | Maximal Exertion | 10 | Maximal • Am | | |
| | | | | | |

- Am Rev Respir Dis. 1982;126(5):825–828
- J Emerg Nurs. 2000;26(3):216–222

At rest No Maximum shortness shortness of breath of breath at all **During activity** No Maximum shortness shortness of breath of breath at all



Relationships between the changes in a) endurance time (time to the limit of tolerance (tLIM)); b) dyspnoea at iso time; and c) iso time inspiratory capacity (IC) after the administration of bronchodilators. Mean minimal clinically important difference (MCID) thresholds of a) 105 s; b) 1 point; and c) 0.2 L. #: lower limit of 95% CI 60 s.*: p<0.05

- Advantages:
- Relatively expensive
- Can be performed without metabolic system
- Additional measurements easier on cycle

Take Home message

- Field test can be preferred over laboratory tests
- Limited evidence of ISWT and ESWT in disease other than COPD
- Minimally important difference (ISWT + ESWT) not clearly available for different disease
- Used in pre operative evaluation and response to treatment assessment
- Sensitivity, specificity not available for individual variables for diagnosing and differentiating disease